#### 高速道路合流部の渋滞時における 割り込み場所選定手法の構築

#### Lane-Changing Space Selection at Congested Merging Area

Keiju Nishimura and Hanwool Woo (Kogakuin University)

#### Introduction

- Automated driving is being put to practical use
- Expected to reduce traffic accidents and ease traffic congestion
- Mixed environment of automated and manually operated vehicles



Baidu  $\lceil Apollo Go \rfloor$  (1)

#### Problem

Current autonomous driving systems have difficulty merging onto congested main lines due to safety considerations

→ Need a system that can be lane-changing



#### **Previous Studies**

- Vehicle-to-vehicle communication for lane change at merging area<sup>(2)</sup>
  - Sends a message to surrounding vehicles to give way

All vehicles must be equipped with device

- Lane change at merging traffic jams<sup>(3)</sup>
  - Building a merging model from human lane-changing data

Lane-changing space have not considered

3

(2)矢島颯斗, 高見一正, "自動運転車と手動運転車混在時の 進路交譲のための車車間通信プロトコルと試作評価", マルチ メディア,分散,協調とモバイル(DICOMO2017)シンポジウム, pp1679-1687 (2017)
 (3) Hanwool Woo, Hiroto Tetsuka and Jongseong Gwak: "Automatic Lane-Changing System on Congested Highway", Journal of Robotics and Mechatronics, Vol.36, No.3 (2024)



# Construction a method for selecting interrupt locations without use vehicle-to-vehicle communication

## Approach

• Evaluate the possibility on vehicles status and remaining distance



#### **Problem definition**

- Assumes two lanes of congested main lane and merging lane
- Ego vehicle equipped with measurement equipment
- Main lane vehicles are human drivers



#### Method

- Predicts position based on current position and speed
- Evaluate feasibility based on location prediction and remaining distance



Schematics of proposed method

## **Prediction of position**

#### • Ego vehicle

Travelling within speed and acceleration limits



#### Prediction of position of ego vehicle

#### Main lane vehicles

Assumed to run at constant speed and only their positions updated



Prediction of position of main lane vehicles

## Merging feasibility assessment

• Determined based on the following four points



## **1. Space between vehicles**

• The greater the distance between vehicles, the higher the assessment

$$\alpha = \min(1, d^t c) \quad \frac{d^t}{c}$$
 Space between vehicles at time t  
c : Constant



#### 2. Distance from Ego vehicle to target

• The closer the vehicle is to its ego vehicles, the higher the assessment

$$\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} exp\left(-\frac{(x_s - x_e)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad \begin{array}{l} x_s \\ x_e \end{array}$$
 Mid-point between vehicles  $x_e \end{array}$  Position of ego vehicle  $x_e$ 



## 3. Remaining distance

• The shorter the remaining distance, lower the assessment

$$\gamma = \min\left(1, 1 - \left(\frac{x_s - x_e}{r}\right)\right)$$

- $x_s$ : Mid-point between vehicles
- $x_e$ : Position of ego vehicle
- r : Remaining distance



#### 4. Reachable range

• Exclusion from choices when above speed and acceleration limits



#### Simulation

- Environment where the total length of merging lane is 480m and 240m
- Ego vehicle merge between target vehicles
- Main lane vehicles take different amounts of time to give way



## **Simulation (Select front)**



Red : Ego vehicle Blue : Target vehicles Yellow : Ego vehicle (blinkers-on)

#### **Simulation (Select rear)**



Red : Ego vehicle Blue : Target vehicles Yellow : Ego vehicle (blinkers-on)

#### Problem

- Selected despite shrinking space
  - $\rightarrow$  Proximity to space is the preferred select



## Space results

- No change was observed in the two results
- Select a space of about 10 meters





#### **Distance results**

• 240m Results were more backward than 480m



Distance from Ego vehicle to target

## Safety assessment

• Margin-To-Collision

Indicates whether there is a collision between vehicle (Deceleration : 0.7 G =  $-6.9 \text{ m/s}^2$ )





 $x_r$ : distance between two vehicles $v_p$ : Forward vehicle speed $v_f$ : Rear vehicle Speed $A_p = A_f = -6.9m/s^2$ 

#### Safety assessment result

- Margin-To-Collision less than 1
  → Likelihood of collision
- Safe interruptions are possible as a result of Margin-To-Collision

Result of Margin-To-Collision		
	Avg.	Min.
480 m	6.06	3.71
240 m	5.98	3.87

## Conclusions

- Lane-changing space selection at Merging Area was proposed
- Evaluate the possibility on vehicles status and remaining distance
- Margin-to-collision found to be highly secure

#### **Future work**

• Obtain human lane-changing and compare with proposed results



